

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,274	04/02/2004	Robert F. Zenisek		2297
ROBERT F. ZI	7590 01/12/200 ENISEK		EXAM	INER .
41 E. CAMPUS CT BARFIELD, ANTHONY		HONY DERRELL		
RACINE, WI	53402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3636	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/817,274 ZENISEK, ROE		ZENISEK, ROBERT F.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony D. Barfield	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 16(a). In no event, however, may a reprint apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Nationally be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	•	·	
·	y parto Quayro, 1000 0.2.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		v the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 H S C & 449	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. h h		•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		alta asta a Ala	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.	·
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Dateormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04.	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, applicant has disclosed an article of furniture in the preamble and then recites a stepped stool as the invention in the remaining claims. Applicant must clarify, i.e., "An article of furniture comprising a stepped school". In claims, 3-4, the phrase "defined in the residential building codes", renders the claims indefinite as the applicant must clarify and explicitly recite the code and year (of adoption/enforcement) in order to properly rely on such for definition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santa Cruz et al. Santa Cruz et al. shows the use of an article of furniture comprising a stepped stool (10) having a pair of side frames (see Fig. 4) supporting a seat (15) and a footrest (14). The footrest is further strengthened by cross braces (20,30). Santa Cruz et al., further

Application/Control Number: 10/817,274

Art Unit: 3636

discloses that the stepped stool is made from wood, metal, plastic and with the use of screws (see col. 2, liens 30 and 59) where the footrest may be adjusted in a vertical manner depending where it is locked along the recess in the side frames.

- 5. Claims 1-7, and 9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kneier (2002/0060491). Kneier shows the use of an article of furniture comprising a stepped stool (10) having a pair of side frames (14) supporting a seat (10) and a footrest (12). The footrest is further strengthened by cross braces (30,32). Kneier, further discloses that the stepped stool is made from wood, metal, plastic and with the use of screws (see pg. 3, paragraph 47) and includes armrests (58,60).
- 6. Claims 10-12 are as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whiteside et al. Whiteside et al shows the use of an article of furniture comprising a stepped stool (10) having telescoping legs (17,24) which support a seat (51) and a footrest (29). Whiteside et al., further shows that the stepped stool is made metal, and the legs have a plurality of holes (27) and locking pins (28,31).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Nos. 2,658,640, 4,727,958 and 6,957,718 show features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852.

Art Unit: 3636

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony D Bartiel Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

adb January 6, 2007